

MINUTES OF A SPECIAL MEETING OF
THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
ORCHARD FARMS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT (THE “DISTRICT”)
HELD
OCTOBER 29, 2025

A special meeting of the Board of Directors of the Orchard Farms Metropolitan District (referred to hereafter as the “Board”) was convened on October 29, 2025, at 6:00 p.m. This District Board meeting was held at the Fossil Ridge Public Safety Center and via Microsoft Teams Video Conference. The meeting was open to the public.

ATTENDANCE

Directors in attendance were:

Randy Stutz, President
Brian McNelly, Secretary
John King, Treasurer
Ed Passini, Assistant Secretary
Nate Watkins, Assistant Secretary

Also, in attendance were:

Celeste Terrell, Rachel Alles and Ben Maestas; CliftonLarsonAllen LLP (“CLA”)
Megan Murphy, Esq.; WBA, PC (“WBA”)
Alex Graves; Flock Safety
Christy Mason, Judy Absalon, Jennifer Venturo-Valdez, Glenn Seberg, Carleen Ox, Mike and Nancy Shimer, Gail Kobylinski, Nick Orozco, Tanya LaCome, Ruth King, Wendi, Prashanth Mannar and other Members of the Public Online

Ron Wagner, Todd Sheridan, William Kelly, Tim Beer, Brittany Crosby, Luz Vazquez, Angel Wagner, Terry Maglietto, Julien Biton, Stephen Brooks, William Boston; Members of the Public in Person

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

Call to Order:

Ms. Terrell called the meeting to order at 6:09 p.m.

Quorum and Reaffirmation of Disclosures:

A quorum of the Board was confirmed. Attorney Murphy reported that disclosures for those Directors that provided WBA with notice of potential or existing conflicts of interest were filed with the Secretary of State’s Office and the Board at least 72 hours prior to the meeting, in accordance with Colorado law, and those disclosures were acknowledged by the Board. Attorney Murphy inquired into whether members of the Board had any additional disclosures of potential or existing conflicts of interest with regard to any matters scheduled for discussion at the meeting. No additional disclosures were noted. The

participation of the members present was necessary to obtain a quorum or to otherwise enable the Board to act.

Agenda:

The Board reviewed the agenda for the meeting. Following discussion, upon a motion duly made by Director King, seconded by Director Watkins and, upon vote, unanimously carried, the Board approved the agenda, as presented.

Public Comment:

Ron Wagner addressed the Board and noted he is in favor of the system.

Brittany Crosby addressed the Board and noted she is not in favor of the system.

A member of the public addressed the Board and noted he is not in favor of the system.

Todd Sheridan addressed the Board and noted he is not in favor of the system.

William Kelly addressed the Board and noted he is not in favor of the system.

Jennifer Venturo-Valdez addressed the Board and noted she is not in favor of the system.

Tim Beer addressed the Board and noted he is in favor of the system.

A member of the public addressed the Board and noted he is in favor of the system.

Gail Kobylinski addressed the Board and noted she is not in favor of the system.

Nick Orozco addressed the Board and noted he is in favor of the system.

Tanya LaCome addressed the Board and noted she is not in favor of the system.

Christy Mason addressed the Board and noted she is not in favor of the system.

Prashanth Mannar addressed the Board and noted he is in favor of the system.

Arguments in favor of Flock Cameras cited recent crimes, the potential deterrent effect, and the value of providing evidence to law enforcement. There was emphasis on the relatively low annual cost per household and the possibility of opting out for those with privacy concerns.

Arguments against Flock Cameras raised concerns about privacy, data misuse, surveillance creep, cost escalation, and the lack of clear evidence that Flock cameras would reduce crime in their low-crime community. The effectiveness of cameras in deterring or solving crimes was questioned.

The Board reiterated their commitment to representing the majority opinion of the community and clarified that no vote would be taken during this meeting. The Board

discussed the importance of transparency, legal compliance, and establishing clear metrics for success if the cameras are installed.

Discussion further ensued regarding alternative safety strategies such as community watch programs, improved lighting, and rebates for home security cameras, arguing these would be more effective and less invasive than Flock cameras.

BOARD DISCUSSION REGARDING POTENTIAL INSTALLATION OF FLOCK CAMERAS

The Board, Mr. Graves and residents discussed the following topics: who owns the data and how the data is handled, what is required for installation and whether the cameras can look into the backyards and homes of the residents nearby the installation locations, installation costs and keeping costs down over time, what data is recorded and what attributes are captured, where data is kept, whether there is flexibility in the data retention period, the existence of a third-party which validates data destruction, success rates in other similar communities, accuracy of license plate readings, system malfunctions and related communications, whether data would be used for research purposes and Board access to recorded information. No action was taken.

OTHER BUSINESS

None.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board at this time, upon a motion duly made by Director McNelly, seconded by Director Stutz and, upon vote, unanimously carried, the Board adjourned the meeting at 8:07 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

By _____

Secretary for the Meeting